	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/707,433	PETERS, ROBERT D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859
All Participants:	Status of Application: a	after pre-ppeal request filed
(1) Ex. Tiffany A. Fetzner.	(3)	·
(2) Attorney J. Mark Wilkinson Reg. No. 48,865.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006	Time: <u>2:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None	•	
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 4, 11, 12, 19, and 20		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.	i	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	the examiner will provide a water record of the substance	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative, to request permission to make an examiner's amendment to the independent claims, and the dependent claims which were in need of minor formal corrections, grammatically and punctuatonally, in order to resolve the remaining issues of the application, and move the application forward towards allowance. The attorney granted permission for the examiner's amendment, and since the case is now being forwarded towards allowance, the pre-appeal conference request is now considered to be moot by the examiner.